UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,488	11/14/2005	Toshimichi Morikoshi	4439-4033	6831
	7590 02/11/200 INNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN	ANCIAL CENTER		WARE, DEBORAH K	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/536,488	MORIKOSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEBBIE K. WARE	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-12 and 15-19 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 17 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10,12,15,16,18 and 19 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	hdrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptance and a	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 10-12 and 15-19 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on November 20, 2008, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 11 and 17 are, therefore, withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on November 20, 2008.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed July 7, 2008 and November 20, 2008, have been received.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2008, have been fully considered and are deemed persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 10, 12, 15, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by newly cited Yokomizo (US 6896918).

Claims are drawn to methods of using a B-1,4-mannobiose-containing composition comprising blending the composition with a feed and feeding the feed to livestock or poultry to inhibit Salmonella.

Yokomizo teaches methods of using a mannobiose containing composition comprising blending the composition with a feed and feeding the feed to livestock or poultry to inhibit Salmonella. Note the abstract and col. 3, lines 45-48 and line 53 and col. 4, see Table 1.

The claims clearly read on the teachings of Yokomizo wherein the same preparation steps are used on the same identical source material, thus, the B-1,4-mannobiose containing composition is inherent to the disclosure of Yokomizo. Also the ranges of at least 10% by weight and at least 1% by weight, etc. are also clearly disclosed because the ranges of the cited disclosure clearly overlap with the claimed amounts. The identical method steps are used by Yokomizo to carry out the methods

and hence the claims are identical to the cited disclosure and are considered to be anticipated by Yokomizo.

All claims fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art discussed above and cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE K. WARE whose telephone number is (571)272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,488 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

/Deborah K. Ware/ Deborah K. Ware Examiner Art Unit 1651